

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,945	VAIDYANATHAN, BASU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emerson C. Puente	2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Emerson C. Puente. (3)_____.

(2) Lisa Yociss (Reg No. 36,975). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15-18, 21, and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner requested applicant to submit statement that the deletion to the specification reflected that applicant disavowed or do not intend to cover transmission type media, such as digital and analog communication links, wired or wireless communications links using transmission forms, such as, for example radio frequency and light wave transmission or reinstate deleted material in order to overcome new matter and 112 2nd paragraph rejections resulting from deletion of matter from the specification. Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment to reinstate the deleted material into the specification. Applicant also agreed to cancel claims 15-18, 21, and 24 to overcome the 101 rejection presented in the previous office action, making the case in condition for allowance.

Also, examiner suggested applicant to change the dependency of claim 14 to claim 8 instead of claim 13 since claim 13 had been cancelled. Applicant agreed.